



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Compensation

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

RENAISSANCE HOSPITAL
C/O BURTON & HYDE PLLC
PO BOX 684749
AUSTIN TX 78768-4749

Respondent Name

ALBERTSONS INC

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 19

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-08-2817-01

MFDR Date Received

January 7, 2008

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Our company has purchased both hospital-specific and national average-specific payment statistics known as Med Par Data from Cleverly and Associates, a nationally-recognized third party vendor of payment information. Based on this data, we have established a Payment Adjustment Factor (PAF) to be applied to our hospital-specific Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement rate, and have determined this to be our interpretation and application of Fair and Reasonable . . . The PAF we have established is 250% of our hospital-specific Medicare OPPS reimbursement rate, which is consistent with most commercial and private payers within this region."

Amount in Dispute: \$269.47

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "The billing in dispute has been paid at a fair and reasonable rate in accordance with TWCC guidelines, policies and rules, and the Texas Labor Code. Carrier has determined that \$2,556.26 represents an amount greater than or equal to the fair and reasonable reimbursement for this service. The provider must therefore prove that the reimbursement received is not fair and reasonable. . . . Because Requestor has failed to prove that the reimbursement received is not fair and reasonable, Requestor is not entitled to further reimbursement."

Response Submitted by: Flahive, Ogden & Latson, 504 Lavaca, Suite 1000, Austin, Texas 78701

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
February 26, 2007	Outpatient Services	\$269.47	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.

2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1 provides for fair and reasonable reimbursement of services not identified in an established fee guideline.
3. Texas Labor Code §413.011 sets forth general provisions related to reimbursement policies and guidelines.
4. U.S. Bankruptcy Judge Michael Lynn issued a “STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY TO PERMIT CONTINUANCE AND ADJUDICATION OF DISPUTED WORKERS COMPENSATION CLAIMS BEFORE THE TEXAS STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS,” dated August 27, 2010, in the case of *In re: Renaissance Hospital – Grand Prairie, Inc. d/b/a/ Renaissance Hospital – Grand Prairie, et al.*, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Fort Worth Division in Case No. 08-43775-7. The order lifted the automatic stay to allow continuance of the claim adjudication process as to the workers’ compensation receivables before SOAH, effective October 1, 2010. The order specified John Dee Spicer as the Chapter 7 trustee of the debtor’s estate. By letter dated October 5, 2010, Mr. Spicer provided express written authorization for Cass Burton of the law office of Burton & Hyde, PLLC, PO Box 684749, Austin, Texas 78768-4749, to be the point of contact on Mr. Spicer’s behalf relating to matters between and among the debtors and the Division concerning medical fee disputes. The Division will utilize this address in all communications with the requestor regarding this medical fee dispute.
5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - 45 – Charges exceed your contracted/ legislated fee arrangement. This change to be effective 6/1/07: Charge exceeds fee schedule/maximum allowable or contracted/legislated fee arrangement. (Use Group Codes PR or CO depending upon liability)
 - 100 – ANY NETWORK REDUCTION IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NETWORK REFERENCED ABOVE.
 - W10 – No maximum allowable defined by fee guideline. Reimbursement made based on insurance carrier fair and reasonable reimbursement methodology.
 - 647-002 – REIMBURSEMENT HAS BEEN CALCULATED BASED ON A PERCENTAGE OF THE CHARGES.
 - W1 – Workers Compensation State Fee Schedule Adjustment
 - 080 – REVIEW OF THIS BILL HAS RESULTED IN AN ADJUSTED REIMBURSEMENT OF \$315.70

Findings

1. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed service with reason codes 45 – “Charges exceed your contracted/ legislated fee arrangement. This change to be effective 6/1/07: Charge exceeds fee schedule/ maximum allowable or contracted/legislated fee arrangement. (Use Group Codes PR or CO depending upon liability)” and 100 – “ANY NETWORK REDUCTION IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NETWORK REFERENCED ABOVE.” Review of the submitted information found insufficient documentation to support that the disputed services are subject to a contractual fee arrangement between the parties to this dispute. Nevertheless, on June 9, 2011, the Division requested the respondent to provide a copy of the referenced contract(s) between the network and the requestor, pursuant to former 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(e)(1), effective December 31, 2006, 31 Texas Register 10314, which states that “The Division may request additional information from either party to review the medical fee issues in dispute. The additional information must be received by the Division no later than 14 days after receipt of this request. If the Division does not receive the requested additional information within 14 days after receipt of the request, then the Division may base its decision on the information available.” Attorney Jeremy Lord of the law firm Flahive, Ogden & Latson, responded on behalf of the insurance carrier by facsimile transmission in a letter dated June 29, 2011, which stated that “The Carrier has determined there is not a contract that is applicable for this date of service.” Review of the submitted information finds that the disputed services are not subject to a contractual fee arrangement between the parties to this dispute. The above payment reduction reason is not supported. The disputed services will therefore be reviewed for payment in accordance with applicable Division rules and fee guidelines.
2. This dispute relates to outpatient services performed in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, effective May 2, 2006, Volume 31 *Texas Register*, page 3561, which requires that, in the absence of an applicable fee guideline, reimbursement for health care not provided through a workers’ compensation health care network shall be made in accordance with subsection §134.1(d) which states that “Fair and reasonable reimbursement: (1) is consistent with the criteria of Labor Code §413.011; (2) ensures that similar procedures provided in similar circumstances receive similar reimbursement; and (3) is based on nationally recognized published studies, published Division medical dispute decisions, and values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments, if available.”
3. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual’s behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.

4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(c)(2)(G), effective December 31, 2006, 31 *Texas Register* 10314, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 15, 2007, requires the requestor to provide “documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement) when the dispute involves health care for which the Division has not established a maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR), as applicable.” Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
- The requestor’s position statement asserts that “Our company has purchased both hospital-specific and national average-specific payment statistics known as Med Par Data from Cleverly and Associates, a nationally-recognized third party vendor of payment information. Based on this data, we have established a Payment Adjustment Factor (PAF) to be applied to our hospital-specific Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement rate, and have determined this to be our interpretation and application of Fair and Reasonable . . . The PAF we have established is 250% of our hospital-specific Medicare OPPS reimbursement rate, which is consistent with most commercial and private payers within this region.”
 - The requestor’s data does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor.
 - The requestor did not explain how it determined that a payment adjustment factor of 250% of the hospital specific Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System reimbursement rate would result in a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.
 - The requestor did not submit documentation to support that a payment adjustment factor of 250% of the hospital specific Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System reimbursement rate would result in a fair and reasonable reimbursement for the services in dispute.
 - The requestor did not submit documentation to support that this rate is consistent with most commercial and private payers in the region.
 - The requestor has not supported that payment of the requested amount would satisfy the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.

Authorized Signature

	Grayson Richardson	October 3, 2013
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party**.

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.